

**VILLAGE OF FRANKLIN
VILLAGE COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING
MONDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2016, 7 PM
FRANKLIN VILLAGE HALL – BROUGHTON HOUSE
32325 FRANKLIN ROAD, FRANKLIN, MICHIGAN 48025**

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by President Jim Kochensparger, at 7:01 PM at the Franklin Village Hall, Franklin, Michigan.

II. ROLL CALL

Present: Fred Gallasch, Pam Hansen, Jim Kochensparger, Judy Moenck, Tom Morrow,
Mike Seltzer

Absent: Brian Gordon

Also Present: Jim Creech, Village Administrator
Chief Dan Roberts, Police Department
Chief Tony Averbuch, Fire Department
Eileen Pulker, Village Clerk
Lance Vainik, Treasurer
John Staran, Village Attorney

Motion by Morrow, seconded by Hansen to excuse Council Member Gordon in his absence from the 10/10/16 Regular Council Meeting.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer

Nays: None

Absent: Gordon

Motion carried.

III. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Motion by Morrow, seconded by Moenck to adopt the agenda, as presented.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer

Nays: None

Absent: Gordon

Motion carried.

IV. MINUTES

A. Regular Meeting of September 12, 2016

Motion by Moenck, seconded by Seltzer to approve the Regular Meeting minutes for September 12, 2016, as presented.

Seltzer posed some questions concerning the tallying of the Roll Call Vote for tabling the decision on the Grinder Pump Alarm Project and the regular vote for approving the same project, both of which were on page 11 and both were denied.

Kochensparger refreshed everyone's memory that with the acoustics in the church and the noise from fans running there might have been some confusion, but the item would be reconsidered later in the meeting.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Seltzer
Nays: None
Abstain: Morrow
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.

V. REPORTS OF VILLAGE OFFICERS AND AGENTS

Dan Roberts, Police Chief, referred to his written report and highlighted the most recent incidents which have occurred in Franklin and Bingham Farms, as well as around the area (Bloomfield Township, Beverly Hills, and Troy). There has been an increase in residential burglaries in homes under construction and homes for sale. The Department is seeing “vacant” and “For sale” home burglaries, with the most recent one being last Thursday on West Haverford with several appliances taken. Based on eye witnesses in the area, suspect vehicles have been identified. Residents need to report to the Police whenever they see a suspicious vehicle, especially a truck or trailer in the driveways or near homes that are for sale. Please dial 911 so that the Police may respond quickly. These incidents have occurred during the day. There are over 75 homes for sale in the two (2) villages and officers have been dispatched to each one to determine which were vacant and which ones were not. Special attention has been given to those vacant residences. Speculation is that a crew is responsible for these burglaries and because of the amount of appliances taken indicates that the crew is in a house for a long period of time. Again, he appealed to the residents for help. Because of the leads, Detective Bastinelli has been working with the area departments. Traffic arrests were numerous this month. Regarding personnel, Kabe Jenkins who has been in the department for about 4 years will be leaving and joining the Ann Arbor Police Department. As with others who have moved on, the decision isn’t money or healthcare/retirement based, but rather a desire to be part of a larger department which affords them additional opportunities. The department is now in another phase of hiring which seems to be the norm for this area. He stated that there also is a need for part-time officers.

Tony Averbuch, Fire Chief, referred to his written report. As a reminder to those residents who are allergic to bees, which are attracted to the cider and apples, be prepared with their epi-pens. The Fire Department is also equipped with the medicine and can help. Morrow encouraged him to remark on the Village Ordinance on Open Burning and the need for residents to apply for a burn permit that is available online on the Village website or on the Fire Department website and is at no cost. Averbuch noted that only yard waste is allowed to be burned (no garbage, no construction material), during the daylight hours, and must be attended by a person with a water source so if the fire gets out of control it can be contained; common sense would prevail. He additionally asked that if residents have any questions, please call the Fire Department or the Village Office.

Main Street Franklin, Barry Silverstein, chairman of the Board, stated that MSF had signed an agreement with the Village and shortly thereafter hired an Executive Director, Deanna Yow, owner of Farmhouse Coffee and Ice Cream, whom he introduced to the Council. Yow stated she would provide a written report at the subsequent meetings. In the recent weeks she

has had numerous meetings with the Village Administrator and staff, the Chief of Police, has attended the September Planning Commission meeting, and met with the Main Street Franklin Board of Directors, the Main Street Oakland County Board, as well as, with a previous MSF Director, Katherine White. MSF plans on having “Franklinstein Frenzy”, if Council approves its civic event permit. She has met with the merchants, service providers, and property owners in Franklin Village, and anticipates meetings with the new groups coming into the Village Center and the Franklin Historic Society. This Thursday and Friday she would be attending the annual conference of the Michigan Downtown Association. Kochensparger inquired if MSF was working off a “goals list”, with Yow replying that the organization has several items which would not be happening, due to the time restraints. Moenck clarified that MSF’s calendar year is January-December. Morrow had questions about the Market Basket; with Yow explaining its current lease and that she has connected the building owner with Main Street Oakland County with the hope of spreading the word about the building’s availability.

Lance Vainik, Treasurer, referred to his monthly report and noted that the amounts on the Bills List are consistent with normal monthly spending. Vainik stated that the expenditures are generally consistent with the FY 2016-17 Budget. He also reported that the bills list included a large entry for the 2016/2017 Auto and Liability Insurance Policy, payable to Michigan Municipal Risk Management Fund. Vainik added that a list provided of account balances is as of October 4, 2016. He concluded by stating that the Village has sufficient funds to meet its current and anticipated obligations. Morrow and Gallasch questioned the insurance bill being included under General Fund instead of the Police budget. Vainik stated that he would check with the Administrator and reclassify it if necessary.

VI. SUBMISSION OF CURRENT BILLS

Motion by Morrow, seconded by Moenck, to approve the Bill’s List, as submitted.

<u>TOTALS</u>	
General Administration	\$ 23,588.70
Building	\$ 6,304.88
Insurance	\$ 70,268.37
Legal	\$ 1,886.00
Police	\$ 15,244.89
Pressure Sewer	\$ 255,634.53
General Debt Service	\$ -
Major Roads	\$ 2,106.53
Local Road	\$ 2,106.53
Rubbish	\$ 15,000.57
Trust & Agency	\$ 5,000.00
Tax Fund	\$ 20,108.41
Waste Water	\$ -
ALL FUNDS	\$ 417,249.41

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.

VI. PUBLIC REQUESTS AND COMMENTS

Cyrus Motlagh, Bruce Lane, expressed his concerns about the weeds which have taken over the stream that goes through his property. This was the same concern he had when he spoke before the Council at the October 2015 meeting. He was hoping for an answer or some type of action from the Council. He was only aware of the Administrator meeting with the Friends of the Rouge River. Creech reported that, like Motlagh, it was his understanding that that particular group was not going to do anything unless it was part of a larger problem. The Administrator stated that someone from Hubbell, Roth, & Clark, the Village engineering firm, could stop by and make some suggestions. Motlagh stressed that because it is a public stream, a tributary of the Rouge River, running through private property, it becomes a public matter, not a private matter. The Administrator and Kochensparger explained the technical issues associated with this matter. Kochensparger stated that the Administrator would reach out to Motlagh again and they could attend another Friends of the Rouge River meeting on November 15 in Wixom. At its last meeting the subject was brought up and it was indicated that unless this project was connected with or attached to a larger project they could not spend public money for a private purpose. Moenck clarified that a private survey was needed to determine if in fact this was a wetlands.

Mira Stakhiv, Crestwood Dr., followed up on Chief Robert's comments appealing to the residents to be vigilant in wake of the home burglaries adding that this would be an opportune time for residents to become involved with Mobile Watch. There has been an increase in membership which is good but more members would be even better. Every couple of months Mobile Watch presents an interesting program which is open to all residents. Later this month on October 27 at 7 PM, Mike Daisley, formerly with the Franklin police and now with Novi police, will be coming with a K9 to demonstrate what is involved with K9 training. She reminded residents that she is the Franklin's representative on the Birmingham Area Cable Board and if they have any issues to please call her. She will become involved and she will walk them through the procedure. Also, a complaint form can be found on the website, BACB.org. The problem(s) would be settled within 24 hours. She encouraged the residents to stop in the new library, all which was accomplished by a dedicated Board, consultant Gary Roberts, and architect Bill Finnicum.

VII. SPECIAL REPORTS

A. President's Report

Kochensparger stressed that although Council and Administrator try to help the Villagers as much as they possibly can, sometimes there are situations that are out of their control and can't be resolved and apologized for that.

B. Council Report

None

C. Administrator Report

Creech presented the Council with a version of the mailer explaining the Charter Amendments and Road Bond issue which would be mailed out to all residents as early as tomorrow. There were questions and a discussion as to its format, overall cost, and colors. Moenck volunteered to help with the selection of the color scheme. Hansen confirmed that the document would be on the website. Creech has received calls from residents who were asking for clarification about the issues. Moenck noted that for those residents who have already received absentee ballots the same information in the mailer can be found on the Village website. Hansen complimented Creech on the graphic of the VOTE button but stated that she would rather have seen a breakdown of local tax money. This request was prompted by questions she had received from residents. Moenck inquired as to the cost of having the Hazardous Waste Day, in terms of how many took advantage of it and how many pounds were collected. Creech did not have the exact amount but it was down by about 50-60% from last year which he attributed to the all-day rain. The budget for the event was between \$16,000 and \$19,000. Moenck commented that it was very expensive for the benefit of a few. She added that maybe an alternative should be explored.

D. BS & A Report, Presentation, and Proposal

Dan Burns, Account Executive and registered CPA representing BS & A Software, presented proposals for an upgrade for the Building Department and for a replacement of Quick Books and Accounts Payable program for the General Ledger. He referred to the two (2) written proposals which Council received in its packet. Burns explained the new technology and functionality advantages available with both programs. The Building Dept. would have the capability of accessing information, whether by imputing or retrieving info while the inspectors were in the field, thus making the process more efficient. The system the Village is currently using has been around for over 20 years. The General Ledger and Account Payable programs he proposed are being used by a majority of the local municipalities.

The Cost Summary for the Building Dept, program, (not including the Application and Annual Service Fees), Applications and Upgrade, Applications and New Purchase, Project Management and Implementation Planning, and Implementation and Training plus Travel Expenses totaled \$10,055. The Cost Summary for the General Ledger and Accounts Payable, (not including the Application and Annual Services Fee), Applications, Data Conversions, Project Management and Implementation Planning, and Implementation and Training plus Travel Expenses totaled \$13,010.

Kochensparger inquired about the advantages of the newer software for the Building Department and replacing the current Quick Books software for the General Ledger and Accounts Payable with the BS&A Software. Seltzer summarized the approximate monthly cost for the Village. He asked Burns what he estimated the savings would be for the Village to convert to the new General Ledger and Accounts Payable software. Burns replied that there really wouldn't be a financial return but it would create efficiency and allow for generation of truer financial reports. He added that Security safeguards are built into their software.

Morrow inquired what the Field Inspection System entailed. Burns replied it would allow the inspector to take the data base with him/her outside the office and perform the same activities as would be done inside the office. Morrow also asked whether Quick Books was compatible and what the personnel requirements for these systems were. Morrow remarked that he was not hearing any personnel plan for the Village. He continued by inquiring if Burns had analyzed the Quick Books system the Village now uses with Burns replying, no. Hansen directed her questioning to Creech with regards to staffing needs and impact in the office, in terms of costs per month. She was trying to assess the benefits and costs in terms of personnel. Creech replied that in the long run it would be a lot less cumbersome, less paper, and a lot smoother in terms of operation. It was difficult for him to compare Franklin's initial exposure to the programs in terms of cost benefits since other municipalities where he has worked already had BS&A in place. Moenck inquired if Burns had given a presentation to the employees. He replied no and added that there were a lot of online videos available for instructional support. Creech and the Administrative Clerk had gone to Independence Township to see the program in operation. Moenck was also curious if Burns had audited the Village's existing equipment and, if so, would we need any upgrades. Burns replied that he had provided Creech with the hardware requirements. Creech noted that he had spoken with the Village's IT person who indicated that what we had was sufficient.

Morrow pointed out that the cost of the proposed Field Inspection system was almost 45% of the entire cost for the Building Department software and inquired what it entailed. Burns explained that the Field Inspection system would be a new purchase, as opposed to an upgrade to the existing Program. Morrow asked for more details as to how it would help the Building Official while he's in the field. Burns explained the process with Creech adding more specific information. The purchase of additional computer(s) or portable computer tablet(s) would be necessary.

VIII. NEW BUSINESS

A. Consider BS & A proposal(s).

Motion by Morrow, seconded by Moenck to approve the purchase of the BS & A software for the Building Department, including the Field Inspection Software which is consistent with the Village's Budget expectations.

There was a discussion regarding the purchase or possible purchase of tablet(s), in terms of number and price and should the tablet(s) be part of the motion. Burns explained that the Field Inspection software may be purchased at a later date.

#2016-79 Motion by Morrow, seconded by Moenck to approve the purchase of the BS & A software, but not the Field Inspection software until there is a resolution on the tablet issue.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon

Motion carried.

#2016-80 Motion by Hansen, seconded by Seltzer to approve the purchase of the BS & A software for the General Ledger and Accounts Payable.

Morrow stated that he felt that the expenditure was not necessary as the justification has not been substantiated. He has personal experience with Quick Books and is satisfied with its performance and efficiency. The Village auditor could not identify any problems with the Village's use of QuickBooks. Council has no idea as to the personnel requirements for this system, how it would be utilized, and what internal changes would need to be done. It is his opinion that this is premature.

Hansen agreed and asked that more specific information be offered and the reasons why Franklin should be doing this. There were questions that needed to be answered before a decision was made. Once they are answered she would like to entertain the issue again. Creech will provide some answers and present them to Council at next month's meeting.

Moenck would like to hear opinions from the staff about the proposed program.

Ayes: None
Nays: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Absent: Gordon
Motion denied.

B. Consider Demolition Application for the property located at 31250 Woodside Drive.

#2016-81 Motion by Moenck, seconded by Morrow to approve the Demolition Application for the property located at 31250 Woodside Drive.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.

C. Consider Demolition Application for the Barns at Franklin Farms.

Kochensparger provided a brief history about the property, the barn, the Home Owners Association agreement, and the Franklin Historic Society's involvement, including a letter it had sent to the Franklin Historic District Commission and cc'd to the Home Owners Association expressing the Society's concern and encouraging HDC's support in preserving the barn.

Motion by Morrow, seconded by Moenck to deny the Demolition Application for the Barns at Franklin Farms.

Creech deferred to the Village Attorney, John Staran, to lead the discussion. Staran stated that it was his understanding that the Village does not have records substantiating the requirement that the barns be maintained or preserved nor is the generally worded Master Deed provisions sufficient to reach a conclusion that the barns were to be preserved. Staran continued stating that notwithstanding, it is within the Village Council's prerogative under the Demolition Ordinance to approve or disapprove a request. Council does have a recommendation from the Historic District Commission (HDC) to decline the request. Creech noted that Historic District Commission Chairman, Gary Roberts, had stated that his recommendation is that if Council wanted to deny the application, the denial should be based on the historic significance of the barns and as part of the motion should direct that an Historic District Study Committee be created which would follow the procedure of our Ordinance to study and consider the resource for possible designation as a historic resource.

Gallasch stated that he was on Council when the proposed development came before it. At the time the barn was considered a valuable asset to the Village and Council believed it was significant and should be preserved. He echoed Creech's point that this is demolition by neglect. Staran cautioned that the specific issue of maintenance and preservation should have been spelled out in the official records. He urged that if Council moved head with a motion to deny, it should not base it on things that are not in the record but to do it because it believed the barn is historically significant and should be saved. He also recommended that part of the motion should include the next step to be taken which would be in accordance with the procedures in the Historic District Ordinance to study it and consider it for designation in the Historic District.

Yvonne Lake, President of the Franklin Farms HO Association, referred to page 11 in the Master Deed which states that common elements can be demolished if every single home owner wants the barn demolished. Gallasch inquired what the owners would do with the land once the barn was gone. The answer was to keep the land would become vacant and there would be no intention of creating another lot. Lake also added that one of the homeowners wanted to buy the barn and have their own private a playground for their children and now that particular family doesn't want to do that. Because Council wasn't provided page 11 of the Master Deed, Lake read it out loud.

Mrs. Patel, Franklin Farms Dr. identified herself as the homeowner who has the barn in her backyard. She informed the HO Association that she was interested in buying and the condition that she would be able to buy the land surrounding it. The other homeowners agreed that they did not want to sell that piece of land that the barn sits on as it is in the common area. It is her opinion that the barn in its present condition is dangerous and could fall. Her children play near it. She contacted the Franklin Historical Society for help in its restoration. She reported that she had received a quote of \$45,000 for the restoration job.

Hansen asked Staran for a clarification of the Master Deed with regards to the "original" Council's understanding that the Homeowners Association would maintain the barns unless all the homeowners wanted to tear down because of its poor condition. Staran said that the Master Deed involves the homeowners and the Village has no part of that document; it does not interpret it, administer it or enforce it. Hansen continued by questioning how and why

the barn is in this condition when it was the HOA responsibility to maintain it. She feels that it has now become a Village problem because there's a possibility it "might" be historical and the Village might lose it due to what she sees as "neglect" on the part of the HOA. The barn has now become a hazard and safety issue for small children.

Seltzer opined that a homeowner was willing to consider paying to restore the barn but the HOA has agreed it would rather demolish it than have it restored. He doesn't believe Council has any leverage here. He doesn't see why Council would hold this up at this point other than they would love to keep the barn, unless it had the \$45,000 to put towards it.

Staran explained the process involving the establishment of an Historic District Study Committee, as stated in the Ordinance which follows the state law. The committee would do all the research, hold hearings, get the input from the Planning Commission and the State Historic Preservation office, and would come back to the Village Council with a recommendation to designate the property or to not designate the property as an Historic District. He then detailed the different scenarios depending on the barn's designation. This process could take 90 days or as much as a year which by state law is the maximum time allotment. Staran also explained the possibility that Council adopt a moratorium which would prohibit any type of action without going through the Historic District Commission and its approval process before anything could be done with the building, other than "moth balling it" from the elements. He continued by explaining the Building Official's and HDC's role in this matter.

Morrow expressed the importance of barns to the Village, as recognized in the Master Plan and stated his concerns that this particular barn has fallen apart due to neglect and this could happen to other barns in the Village.

Morrow modified his original motion.

#2016-82 Motion by Morrow, second by Gallasch to deny the Demolition Application for the large red Barn at Franklin Farms and direct that an Historic Study Committee be formed and commenced in accordance with the Historic District's Ordinance and that a moratorium be imposed on any contribution or deterioration of the barn to protect the barn during the process.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer

Nays: None

Absent: Gordon

Motion carried.

D. Consider Lot Split Application for the property located at 27345 Ovid Court.

Salim Khalife, owner of the property and ALFA Properties, LLC, explained his intention of splitting the lot and that according to Mr. Creech the Planning Commission had already approved the plan. He explained that Creech had found a 1967 Deed Restriction stating that the property could not be further divided without the approval of the current owners of the

six (6) lots in the subdivision. When he purchased the land it was his intention to demolish the existing house and divide the property. At the time of purchase he had not been told about the restriction. He provided a copy of the deed to demonstrate that no such provision had been mentioned on it. He even hired a survey/engineering firm for the lot split based on the information which had been approved by the Planning Commission. After the approval he received a notice from Mr. Creech that a document had been found concerning a restriction on lot divisions.

Staran explained that all deed restrictions are recorded, especially on a title insurance document which is part of due diligence when someone buys property. The existence of the deed restriction prevents the Council from approving the request. It is the Applicant's responsibility, not Council's, to resolve the issue before approval can be granted, i.e. between the property owner and the other lot owners in the subdivision.

Khalife clarified that he needed the approval of the subdivision homeowners before he can be issued a Building Permit. Staran provided Council with options it could pursue: 1.) Approve it, 2.) Deny it and state the basis for doing so, and 3.) Make a conditional approval based on compliance with Village Ordinance requirements but attached with the condition that approval would be effective and conditioned on the Applicant presenting to the Village either satisfactory consent from the other lot owners in the subdivision or some legal documentation establishing that these restrictions do not prohibit the lot from being split.

Motion by Morrow, seconded by Seltzer to approve the Lot Split Application for the property at 27345 Ovid Court contingent upon any civil restrictions being removed to allow the division and if the proposed improvement must comply with the Village Building Standards and Ordinances. This is not an approval of a Building Permit. All building permit requirements would have to be considered separately.

Referring to documents provided by the Applicant, Gallasch questioned if a Franklin home could be connected to a Farmington Hills sewer, if in fact one runs along Inkster Road. Staran replied that it would be legally possible but prior consent from many entities would be required. Gallasch also asked if permission had been granted by the Oakland County Road Commission to have a driveway on Inkster; the answer was that one would be obtained from the city of Farmington Hills.

Moenck reminded Council this particular project had been brought before the Council at a meeting on June 13, 2016 by a neighbor expressing some concerns of the neighborhood homeowners. Mr. Khalife explained the situation.

Ayes: Kochensparger, Morrow
Nays: Gallasch, Hansen, Moenck, Seltzer
Absent: Gordon
Motion denied.

#2016-83 Motion by Seltzer, seconded by Hansen to deny the Lot Split Application for the property at 27345 Ovid Court, without prejudice.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.

E. Consider proposal and recommendation for crosswalk replacement installation.

#2016-84 Motion by Morrow, seconded by Seltzer to approve the crosswalk replacement installation across Franklin Road at Scenic not to exceed \$24,500.

Kochensparger provided a brief history of the placement of the crosswalks and opined that more research is needed to determine more appropriate locations and their appearance, instead of merely replacing the current ones. Gallasch questioned the timeframe and money required.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.

F. Consider Broughton House Front Office Desk proposal and recommendation.

Creech explained the two proposed options provided by ANA Woodwork Studio, LLC. for the Reception Front and Back Desks, including the designs and configurations within the Reception area.

Discussion ensued about the layout of each proposed design, the orientation of the counter areas, and the height of the counters. Morrow inquired about the project expense being in the budget, to which Creech replied in the affirmative, as it was part of the \$20,000 for the Broughton House improvements. Creech stated that he preferred Option #2.

#2016-85 Motion by Hansen, seconded by Moenck to approve Option # 2 of the proposed plan for the Reception Front and Back Desks, for Broughton House – Village Hall, as provided by ANA Woodwork Studio, LLC with an option to modify the height of the counter.

Gallasch requested that Creech provide Council with the final version of the paperwork before he signs a contract.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.

**G. Consider setting the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)
Public Hearing for November 14, 2016.**

#2016-86 Motion by Morrow, seconded by Moenck to schedule a Public Hearing for the Community Development Grant (CDBG) Funds at the November 14, 2016 Village Council Regular Meeting.

**Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.**

**H. Consider Civic Event Permit Application for “Franklinstein Frenzy”,
October 22, 2016, Main Street Franklin.**

Motion by Seltzer, seconded by Morrow to approve the Civic Event Permit Application for “Franklinstein Frenzy”, October 22, 2016, Main Street Franklin.

Moenck complimented the applicant on the completion of the application, however she requests that staff double check everything before it is given to Council. Hansen asked for a clarification of the parade route. Yow, MSF Executive Director, commented that the parade was not on Carol Street as mentioned in Mr. Creech’s memo to the Council, but instead on Franklin Road as in the past. She pointed out that a map had been attached to the application.

#2016-87 Motion by Seltzer, seconded by Gallasch to approve the Civic Event Permit Application for “Franklinstein Frenzy”, October 22, 2016, Main Street Franklin, pending approval of Franklin Road closure by Police Chief Roberts.

**Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.**

I. Consider Deputy Treasurer Appointment.

Motion by Seltzer, seconded by Hansen to appoint Jim Zack, Deputy Treasurer.

Discussion ensued about the events and process leading up to this appointment.

Seltzer withdrew his motion.

IX. RESOLUTIONS/ORDINANCES/PROCLAMATIONS

A. Consider Resolution of the Village of Franklin Regarding the Uniform Video Service Local Franchise Agreement with AT&T.

#2016-88 Motion by Seltzer, seconded by Moenck to approve the Resolution of the Village of Franklin Regarding the Uniform Service Local Franchise Agreement with AT&T.

Mira Stakhiv, Crestwood, Franklin's Representative on the Birmingham Area Cable Board, explained that this agreement was similar to the inter local agreement that the four (4) communities (Franklin, Bingham Farms, Beverly Hills, Birmingham) have with Comcast which had been agreed several months ago. She detailed the "Step Down" fees and the reasoning behind them.

Gallasch inquired about the accuracy of the gross revenue numbers being used to calculate the PEG fees. Stakhiv confirmed that the Auditors for the Cable Board do an annual check of the data.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer

Nays: None

Absent: Gordon

Motion carried.

B. Reconsider Oakland County Water Resource Commission (OCWRC) P.A. 342 Resolution Authorizing signature for the related contract.

Staran reminded Council about the confusion about the vote at the end of the previous meeting. The motion was recorded as "denied" which was incorrect. He suggested that it be appropriate for Council to reconsider the previous motion and then act upon it immediately unless Council had additional questions for the WRC. If this being the case Council could make a motion to postpone the vote on reconsideration until the November meeting when WRC could have people attend the meeting to answer questions.

Kochensparger requested that Council members who have questions communicate with WRC prior to the meeting so they can be thoroughly addressed at the next meeting.

#2016-89 Motion by Morrow, seconded by Seltzer to postpone the reconsideration of the Oakland County Water Resource Commission (OCWRC) Public Act 342 Resolution by Village Council Authorizing Execution of a Contract and Directing the Clerk to Notice the Adoption of the Contract, Pledging the Full Faith and Credit of the Village Relative to the Acquisition, Construction and Financing of the Grinder Pump Alarm Project to the November Council meeting.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer

Nays: None

Absent: Gordon

Motion carried.

X. ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Morrow seconded by Moenck to adjourn the meeting.

Ayes: Gallasch, Hansen, Kochensparger, Moenck, Morrow, Seltzer
Nays: None
Absent: Gordon
Motion carried.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:44 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

Gail Beke, Recording Secretary

Eileen H. Pulker, Clerk

James Kochensparger, President